



European Committee for Standardization
Comité Européen de Normalisation
Europäisches Komitee für Normung



TC 278 Road Transport and Traffic Telematics

N2206

Title : Minutes of a meeting of WG 16 with ETSI TC ITS, Frankfurt / Main, 2009-08-24
Source : Mr. Hans-Joachim Schade (convenor WG 16)
Date : 2009-09-09
Status : For information
Note :

n2206 Minutes of a meeting of WG 16 and ETSI TC ITS 2009-08-24.doc

Secretariat: **Nederlands Normalisatie-instituut (NNI)**

Mr. J.A. Dijkstra
Vlinderweg 6
P.O. box 5059
2600 GB Delft
The Netherlands

Telephone : +31 15 2 690 127
Telefax : +31 15 2 690 242
Telex : 38144 nni nl
Internet : jelte.dijkstra@nen.nl
WWW : <http://www.nen.nl/cen278>

**Joint meeting of CEN TC278 WG16 and ETSI TC ITS
at DKE in Frankfurt / Main
on August 24th 2009**

Participants

Name	Company	Country	Function
Hess, Soeren	Consultant of Daimler	Denmark	ETSI TC ITS
Segarra, Gérard	Renault	France	ETSI TC ITS WG1
Gärtner, Gregor	Volkswagen	Germany	Car manufacturer
Schade, Dr. Hans-Joachim	Siemens	Germany	CEN TC278 WG16
Fischer, Dr. Hans-Joachim	ESF	Germany	CEN TC278 WG16, ETSI TC ITS WG2
Paßmann, Christian	Robert Bosch	Germany	Equipment manufacturer
Weber, Thomas	BNetzA i	Germany	ETSI TC ITS WG4
Pflugbeil, Ines	BNetzA	Germany	German regulator
Seeberger, Dieter	Daimler Forschung	Germany	Coordinator of C2C-CC for standardization activities
Vierroth, Volker	Deutsche Telekom - Satellic	Germany	Network operator
Lotz, Dr. Christine	BASt	Germany	Road administration
Annoni, Marco	Telecom Italia	Italy	Network operator

Documents

The following documents were presented:

1. Invitation with draft agenda
2. (Thomas Weber, Soeren Hess presentation)
3. (Hans-Joachim Schade presentation)
4. (EC mandate for ITS standardization)

TOP 1: Welcome, introduction of participants

Mr. Schade introduced the participants into the meeting:

- The meeting was suggested by Mr. Weber.
- The subject of the meeting is a joint / coordinated approach for standardization of ITS at CEN and ETSI, considering the draft mandate of the EC.
- The meeting is an informal meeting. No decisions will be taken.

Mr. Weber provided information on the status of the EC mandate for ITS standardization.

The participants presented their role in the standardization process, see participants list above.

TOP 2: Adoption of agenda

The agenda (document 1) was adopted with the following remark:

- The activities on ITS standardization conducted at ISO TC204, especially at WG16 - CALM, have to be considered in the process of joint / coordinated development of ITS standards.

TOP 3: Information exchange

TOP 3a: ToR ETSI TC ITS, status of work

Mr. Weber and Mr. Hess presented the ETSI TC ITS roadmap (document 2). Beside technical discussions, the following comments were made:

- Terminology needs to be clarified, as the various parties seem to have divergent understandings of terms, e.g "GeoNetworking".
- ITS cover much more than only Cooperative systems
- Many ITS systems already are in use since a lot of years based on existing standards. New standards have to consider this, and have to provide backward-compatibility.
- Cooperative systems cover much more than only "road safety" and "traffic efficiency".
- Finalization of standards at ETSI likely will not be within the expected deadline (October 2009). E.g. still no draft of the base standard on GeoNetworking is available. Thus development of test standards (in an STF) will be delayed. This situation allows discussing the split of work between CEN and ETSI without hurry.
- The roadmap is not a useful basis for decisions on how to split the work between CEN and ETSI.
- The EC should not be asked for STFs / PTs before an agreement between CEN and ETSI is achieved.
- Private and public operators/authorities are concerned about new ITS standards developed at ETSI, as the process is not clear. The reporting and voting procedure was explained by Mr. Weber. There would be are two responsible committees at DIN to handle ETSI EN documents (GK731 "Funktechnik" and GK717 "Telematik"). In the past the only involved committee was GK731 "Funktechnik", and within this committee only the ETSI members.

The concern arises from the fact that now ETSI seems to start development of cooperative systems applications standards. The border line between the facilities layer of the ETSI ITS reference architecture and the ITS applications is not fully clear. The draft documents from WG1 are not precise enough.

TOP 3: Information exchange

TOP 3b: Status of the ToR of CEN TC 278 WG16

Mr. Schade presented the draft plan of the approach of CEN TC278 WG16 (document 3). Because the CEN process was not clear to all participants, Mr. Schade explained the process including remarks relating to the national mirror committees, to the expert nomination process, and to the voting process.

Beside technical discussions, the following comments were made:

- It should be clarified whether WG16 intends to specify procedures for applications (in addition to data elements and messages).
- ETSI wants to standardize the part of LDM related to vehicles and CAMs.
- The presentation is a first draft, it has to be assumed that some modifications will be made as result of the WG 16 kick off meeting.

TOP 4: Discussion on best way of collaboration

- The earliest opportunity to start collaboration is after the kick-off meeting of CEN TC278 WG16.
- It was identified that a coordination group with maximum three experts from ETSI and three experts from CEN should be established as soon as possible.
- The coordination group should draft the requested reply to the EC, commenting on the draft mandate.

- The coordination group should develop details on how the cooperation between the standards organizations could be, and where the border lines are.
- Basis of the coordination group should be the existing agreement on share of work between ETSI and CEN for RTTT / ITS.
- This recommendation should be discussed and agreed by CEN and ETSI in September.

TOP 5: Discussion on the main features of the CEN TC 278 and the ETSI TC ITS proposal concerning the standardisation mandate respectively

This discussion was postponed.